Page 1 of 9

111	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General							
2	(Firm State Bar No. 14000)							
3	ELIZABETH A. CAMPBELL Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 018311 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 Tel: (602) 542-7979 Fax: (602) 364-3202 Attorneys for the State							
4								
5								
6								
7								
8.	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STAT	TE BOARD OF PHARMACY						
9	In the Matter of							
.0	ROBERT NEUMAN,	Board Case No. 09-0029-PHR						
1	Holder of License No. T014468	COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF						
12	As a Pharmacy Technician Trainee In the State of Arizona	HEARING						
13								
4	I. NOTICE OF PU	I. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING.						
15	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED, the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy ("Board")							
16	will conduct an administrative hearing at the	will conduct an administrative hearing at the following place and time, to determine						
17	whether grounds exist to revoke or take other action regarding Pharmacy Technici Trainee License No. T014468 held by Robert Neuman ("Respondent"):							
18								
19	Arizona State Board of Pharmacy							
20	1700 W. Washington Street, 3 rd Floor Board Room Phoenix, Arizona 85007							
21	On September 24, 2009, at 9:00 a.m.							
22	and continuing on successive days until concluding, concerning the matters set forth in this Complaint and Notice of Hearing, at which time and place, evidence, testimony and argument in support of the charges set forth in the Complaint will be presented. If you							
23								
24								
2526								
4U	and the same of th							

4	

1	desire to make a defense to the charges at the hearing, you may appear at the hearing in
2	person and may be represented by legal counsel and may at that time cross-examine the
3	witnesses against you and present testimony of witnesses, evidence and argument in your
4	own behalf.
5	Whether or not you intend to appear at the hearing, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-
6	1927.01(O), YOU ARE REQUIRED to prepare and file a written Answer to the
7	allegations alleged in the above Complaint with the Board within 30 days after
8	service of this Complaint and Notice of Hearing. Your Answer should contain specific
9	admissions or denials of the allegations of the Complaint, and may contain concise
10	factual allegations which you contend constitute a ground or grounds for defense. Your
11	Answer must be in writing, verified under oath, and filed with the Board within thirty
12	(30) days after service of the Complaint. Id. THE BOARD SHALL CONSIDER
13	YOUR FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THIS TIME AS YOUR ADMISSION
14	BY DEFAULT TO THE ALLEGATIONS STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. Id.
15	The Board may then take any action allowed by A.R.S. § 32-1927.01 without conducting
16	a hearing. If you Answer and fail to appear for the hearing, the Board may proceed in
17	your absence. If you desire to waive a hearing and not contest the facts herein alleged,
18	you may file an Answer consisting of a declaration that the material allegations of the
19	Complaint are admitted.
20	After the hearing, if the Board determines that you have committed unprofessional
21	conduct or that you are professionally incompetent or physically or mentally
22	incapacitated to such a degree as to render you unfit to safely perform your employment
23	duties, the Board may revoke or suspend your license, place you on probation, issue you
24	a decree of censure or letter of reprimand, or impose upon you a civil penalty of not more
25	than \$1,000 for each violation. A.R.S. § 32-1927.01(A), (B). The Board may also charge
26	
	Page 2 of 9

, ,	
1	you for the costs of the administrative hearing. A.R.S. § 32-1927.01(C).
1	In accordance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the
2	Board does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admission to and participation in
3	hearings. Should you, or anyone you call as a witness need special accommodations,
5	please contact the Board office at (602) 771-2727 at least three working days before the
6	hearing.
7	Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3206, you have the right to request a copy of the
8	following information from the Board:
9	1. Any review conducted by an expert or consultant providing an evaluation
10	of or opinion on the allegations. 2. Any records on the patient obtained by the board from other health care
11	providers. 3. The results of any evaluations or tests of the health professional conducted
12 13	at the board's direction. 4. Any other factual information that the board will use in making its determination.
14	Please be advised that if you obtain the above-referenced information from the board, you
15	may not release it to any other person or entity or use it in any proceeding or action
16	except the administrative proceeding or appeals related to the administrative proceeding.
17	Violation of this restriction constitutes an act of unprofessional conduct per A.R.S. § 32-
18	3206(B). Also, please be advised that pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3206(C), you or your
19	attorney may be charged for the cost of providing the information received up to the fee
20	for making a copy of each page as prescribed by A.R.S. § 12-284(A).
21	PARTIES AND JURISDICTION
22	1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the
23	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.
24	2. Respondent is the holder of license number T014468, which allows him to
25	practice as a pharmacy technician trainee in the State of Arizona.
26	Page 3 of 9

3. Under A.R.S. § 32-1901, et seq., the Board possess jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent as a licensee of the Board.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. During all times relevant to this Complaint, Respondent was employed as a pharmacy technician trainee at Bashas' Pharmacy #100, Bashas' Pharmacy #86, Bashas' Pharmacy #160, and Food City Pharmacy #164 in Arizona (the "Pharmacies").
- 2. In October 2008, Bashas' loss personnel interviewed Respondent concerning a loss of controlled substances. Respondent admitted diverting Dilaudid (hydromorphone), Endocet (oxycodone/APAP), and oxycodone. Respondent admitted to taking at least 30 tablets of the controlled substances for his friend.
- 3. A subsequent Board controlled substance audit documented shortages of the following at Bashas' Pharmacy #100: 20 tablets of oxycodone 5/325; 5 tablets of oxycodone ER 20; 10 tablets of oxycodone IR 30; 12 tablets of oxycodone IR 5; and 1 tablet of oxycodone 10/325. The audit did not disclose who was responsible for the shortages.
- 4. A subsequent Board controlled substance audit documented shortages of the following at Bashas' Pharmacy #86: 1 tablet of Endocet 7.5/325; 4 tablets of oxycodone IR 5; and 1 tablet of hydromorphone 4. The audit did not disclose who was responsible for the shortages.
- 5. A subsequent Board controlled substance audit documented shortages of the following at Food City Pharmacy #164: 87 tablets of oxycodone IR 15; 1 tablet of oxycodone IR 30; and 39 tablets of oxycodone 5/325. The audit did not disclose who was responsible for the shortages.
- 6. A subsequent Board controlled substance audit documented shortages of the following at Bashas' Pharmacy #160: 3 tablets of oxycodone IR 15; 3 tablets of

oxycodone 10/325; 9 tablets of oxycodone 7.5/325; 8 tablets of oxycodone ER 20; and 11 tablets of oxycodone IR 5. The audit did not disclose who was responsible for the shortages.

- 7. Hydromorphone is a Schedule II controlled substance. A.R.S. § 36-2513(A)(1)(a)(xi).
- 8. Oxycodone and oxycodone/APAP are Schedule II controlled substances.

 A.R.S. § 36-2513(A)(1)(a)(xiv).
- 9. In 2002 Respondent was convicted of misdemeanor assault in Tucson Municipal Court Case No. M-1041-CR-1108975. However, when Respondent completed his Application for Licensure as a Arizona Pharmacy Technician on August 1, 2007, he answered "No" to the question "Has the applicant had any convictions involving a misdemeanor, felony offenses or any drug-related offenses." That question further stated that the applicant was to answer "Yes" even if the conviction had been vacated, pardoned, expunged, dismissed, appealed, or the applicant's civil rights had been restored.

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1927.01(A)(1), the Board may discipline a pharmacy technician trainee who has engaged in unprofessional conduct.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 13-1802(A)(1) (A person commits theft if, without lawful authority, the person knowingly controls another person's property with the intent to deprive that other person of such property). Theft is a crime of moral turpitude. *State v. Superior Court of Pima*

Page 6 of 9

County, 121 Ariz. 174, 175-76, 589 P.2d 48, 49-50 (App. 1978) (shoplifting involves moral turpitude and bears a close relationship to the common law crime of larceny).

- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 13-3406(A)(1) (A person may not knowingly possess or use a prescription-only drug unless the person obtains the prescription-only drug pursuant to a valid prescription of a licensed prescriber). Furthermore, a person may not knowingly obtain or procure the administration of a prescription-only drug by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge. A.R.S. § 13-3406(A)(6). In either case, such illegal acquisition, possession or procurement of a prescription-only drug is a class 1 misdemeanor. A.R.S. § 13-3406(B)(1).
- 5. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 13-3406(A)(7) (A person may not sell, transfer or offer to sell or transfer a prescription-only drug). Such illegal transfer of a prescription-only drug is a class 6 felony. A.R.S. § 13-3406(B)(2).
- 6. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 36-2531(E) (A person may not knowingly or intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by means of forgery, fraud, deception or subterfuge). A person who violates A.R.S. § 36-2531(E) is guilty of a class 4 felony. A.R.S. § 36-2531(E).
- 7. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 36-2525(D) (A controlled substance included in schedule II shall not be dispensed without the written prescription order in ink or indelible pencil or typewritten and manually signed by the medical practitioner).
- 8. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 36-2531(A)(1) (It is unlawful for any person who is subject to A.R.S. § 36-2521

- The conduct and circumstances described above constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-1968(A) ("A prescription-only drug shall be dispensed only under one of the following conditions: (1) By a medical practitioner in conformance with A.R.S. § 32-1921; (2) On a written prescription order bearing the prescribing medical practitioner's manual signature; (3) On an electronically transmitted prescription order containing the prescribing medical practitioner's electronic or digital signature that is reduced promptly to writing and filed by the pharmacist; (4) On a written prescription order generated from electronic media containing the prescribing medical practitioner's electronic or manual signature. A prescription order that contains only an electronic signature must be applied to paper that uses security features that will ensure the prescription order is not subject to any form of copying or alteration; (5) On an oral prescription order that is reduced promptly to writing and filed by the pharmacist; (6) By refilling any written, electronically transmitted or oral prescription order if a refill is authorized by the prescriber either in the original prescription order, by an electronically transmitted refill order that is documented promptly and filed by the pharmacist or by an oral refill order that is documented promptly and filed by the pharmacist.")
- 10. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(6) (Committing a felony, whether or not involving moral turpitude, or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude or any drug-related offense. In either case, conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction or a plea of no contest is conclusive evidence of the commission).

8

13

20

24

25

26

- 11. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(8) (Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to marijuana, prescription-only drugs, narcotics, dangerous drugs, controlled substances or precursor chemicals when determined by the board or by conviction in a federal or state court).

 12. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional
- 12. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(13) (Knowingly filing with the Board any application, renewal or other document that contains false or misleading information).

DATED this day of 2009.

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

HAL WAND, R.Ph

HAL WAND, R.Ph. Executive Director

1	ORIGINAL OF THE FORGOING FILED this 25 day of March, 2009, with:
2	
3	Arizona State Board of Pharmacy 1700 West Washington Street, Suite 250 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED
5	BY CERTIFIED & REGULAR FIRST-CLASS MAIL this 25 day of Mench, 2009, to:
6	Robert Neuman
7	7950 E. Stella #H-5 Tucson, Arizona 85730
8	Respondent
9	COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED this 25 day of March, 2009, to:
10	Christopher Munns
11	Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/SGO
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for the Board
13	•
14	Elizabeth A. Campbell Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/LES
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorney for the State
16	
17	1-42-
18	#425546
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	II.